Case 3:08-cv-01107-EDL Document 16 Filed 05/29/2008 Page 1 of 3 Steven D. Rathfon (Bar No. 058085) LAW OFFICES OF STEVEN D. RATHFON Oakland Office 6114 LaSalle, #518 Oakland, CA 94611 Tel: (510) 530-6668 4 Fax: (510) 530-9422 5 Attorneys for PACIFÍC BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 11 Case No. C 08-01107 EDL PACIFIC BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY 12 JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT Plaintiff. **STATEMENT** 13 VS. 14 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CLARK REALTY BUILDERS, INC., a corporation, 15 CLARK REALTY BUILDERS, LP, and DOES 1 through 10 16 17 Defendants. 18 19 The parties to this lawsuit submit the following Joint Case Management Statement 20 pursuant to Civil Local Rule 16-9 and this court's standing order: 21 1. Jurisdiction is based upon Federal Tort Claims Act and the United States being a 22 party to this action. There are no issues regarding personal jurisdiction or venue. All named 23 defendants have been served and have appeared. 24 2. This is an action to recover the costs of repairing Pacific Bell's underground 25 cables damaged during construction of housing at Moffett Field, Santa Clara County, 26 California. Plaintiff alleges that the contractor hired by the US Army cut working Pacific

Bell telephone cables after the Army and/or NASA employees advised the contractor that

Law Offices of Steven D. Rathfon Tel: 510-530-6668 Fax: 510-530-9422

27

Pacific Bell v.USA C 08-01107 EDL Jt. Case Mgmt Statement 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2627

Law Offices of

Steven D. Rathfon Tel: 510-530-6668 Fax: 510-530-9422 there were no working utilities in the location of the work. The principal factual issue appears to be who had responsibility for locating and protecting underground utilities contained in conduits on federal land.

- 3. The legal issue is whether the USA or Clark was negligent in failing to identify and protect the telephone cables from being damaged by the construction activity on federal land.
 - 4. No motions are pending or are anticipated at this time.
 - 5. No amendments to the pleadings are expected at this time.
- 6. The attorneys have agreed to advise their respective clients to preserve evidence relevant to the issues reasonably evident in this action.
 - 7. The parties each have made initial disclosures as required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26.
- 8. No discovery has been conducted to date. The proposed discovery plan is to complete paper discovery by end of August, percipient witness depositions by the end of September, and any expert depositions by the end of November, 2008.
 - 9. This is not a class action.
 - 10. There are no related cases pending.
- 11. Pacific Bell is seeking damages of \$77,305.55 which consists of the cost of labor, materials and contractors for repairing the damaged cables and the lost use of the facilities during repairs.
 - 12. The parties have agreed to court-sponsored mediation of this matter.
- 13. The parties each have consented to the currently assigned Magistrate Judge for all purposes.
 - 14. This case is not for appropriate other references.
- 15. The issues in the case are not complex and no additional procedures are necessary to narrow the issues.
- 16. This case can be handled expeditiously without the need for streamlined procedures.

	Case 3:08-cv-01107-EDL Document 16 Filed 05/29/2008 Page 3 of 3
1	17. The parties propose the following scheduling dates:
2	a. Expert designations – October 15, 2008;
3	b. Discovery cutoff - November 21, 2008
4	c. Dispositive motions filed by - December 23, 2008
5	d. Trial – March 30, 2009
6	18. Defendant Clark has requested jury trial.
7	19. Pacific Bell has filed The Certification of Interested Entities or Persons. Pacif
8	Bell Telephone Company is owned by AT&T, Inc.
9	20. The parties have agreed to mediate this matter in an effort to facilitate a
10	inexpensive disposition.
11	Dated: May 28, 2008 LAW OFFICES OF STEVEN D. RATHFON
12	
13	By:/s/Steven D. Rathfon
14	Steven D. Rathfon Attorneys for Plaintiff PACIFIC BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY
15	PACIFIC BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY
16	
17	Dated: May 28, 2008 THELEN REID & PRIEST LLP
18	
19	By:/s/ Gregg N. Dulik
20	Attorneys for Defendants Clark Realty Builders, Inc.
21	And Clark Realty Builders, LP
22	Dated: May 28, 2008 UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
23	
24	By:/s/_ Abraham A. Simmons
25	Attorneys for Defendant United States of America
26	
27	
on	- 3 - Pacific Bell v.USA C 08-01107 EDL
_	T WOITE DOT VOOD COUNTY EDD

Law Offices of Steven D. Rathfo Tel: 510-530-6668 Fax: 510-530-9422

Jt. Case Mgmt Statement